

On behalf of Mr DRYDEN, I wish simply to highlight the following elements which directly – and uniquely – affect his home at [REDACTED] APP-007, 2.4, sheets 8 & 10.

He lives there with my family and operates a modest regenerative and biodiverse smallholding called Oxfordshire Estates Ltd. [REDACTED] is wholly encircled by the 'red line'.

The summary points I want to highlight touch on Agenda Issues 3b,c,e,h: I cannot add technically to the specialist expertise behind submissions already given to yourselves.

However, you have all visited [REDACTED] I ask you to evaluate the impact upon this home and family specifically in terms of:

- a. Residential amenity;
- b. Residents' safety and security;
- c. Profound and intense visual impact;
- d. Significant noise impact upon his property and home amenities;
- e. Loss of best and most versatile agricultural land;
- f. Heritage and archaeological impacts;
- g. Existing or re-positioned public and private rights of way;
- h. Safe emergency services access to my home;
- i. The maintenance and continuity of water supply to his home;
- j. Ecology and biodiversity, particularly the breeding, overwintering and nesting of birds / wetland habitat;
- k. I have been unable to identify the Applicant's assessment regarding operational effects of solar arrays on wetland birds and other creatures (dragonflies etc.); I believe the area of such an assessment should include the pond area of Mr Dryden's land.
- l. As his land already regularly floods to a significant level – despite [REDACTED] land falling outside the development boundary – and as his land would be encircled - I seek specific details from the Applicants regarding the additional flood, run-off and contamination risks and mitigation, should the development go ahead; I appreciate that the specific data will be affected by the final buffer zone sought by the Applicants – which we do not yet know.

I accept this list is essentially repeating points Mr Dryden has made in the previous three years – particularly during the consultation phases; I raise them again as a substantive response has never been received from the Applicants.

I continue to seek very specific mitigation (and / or Enhancement) information regarding these issues. I respectfully echo the Lead Inspector's point made this morning about the Applicant's responsibility to apply the Mitigation Hierarchy.